

Chapter 8. Reappointments, Promotions, and Tenure Reviews

Recommendations to renew or not renew contracts, or to promote or not to promote, are initiated by the faculty member's academic unit. Every academic unit with contract renewal or promotion and tenure decisions to make is responsible for providing each member of the faculty, including especially anyone who may become a candidate for tenure, with the department's written criteria for evaluating scholarship, teaching and service.

8.1 Timely Review and Notification

The University and the *Faculty Rules and Regulations* require that Teaching Professor-track and tenure-track, untenured faculty shall be given notice about renewal or non-renewal of the contract well in advance of the expiration of a term appointment. For those whose term appointments at Brown are for four years or less, notice shall be given at least eight months before the appointment expires, with one exception: untenured faculty members being considered for tenure shall normally be informed of the decision at least twelve months before the expiration of a term appointment of any duration. For those with contracts longer than four years, notice shall be given at least twelve months in advance. With respect to timely tenure notification, the University requires that the faculty member be notified by no later than the end of the seventh year (if no contract extensions were granted) of full-time service in the tenurable rank whether he/she will or will not be granted tenure. If the recommendation is positive, promotion to tenured Associate Professor is normally effective as of the next July 1.

By June 30th, the Dean of the Faculty will send to the chairs/directors of academic units the names of all faculty members who will be reviewed for reappointment during the coming academic year. For tenure candidates, notification of review will occur no later than April 1st of the year preceding the penultimate year of the candidate's probationary period. Chairs should report any discrepancies with their records immediately. Assuming a contract end date or tenure notification date of June 30, the following guidelines apply:

- For faculty requiring eight months' notice, the review by the academic unit should be completed and forwarded to the Dean by September 15 of the final year. If the contract end date is December 31st, the dossier should be submitted no later than March 1.
- For faculty requiring twelve months' notice, the review by the academic unit should be completed and forwarded to the appropriate Dean by January 7 of the penultimate year of the contract for candidates being reviewed for promotion to associate professor with tenure. The deadline for submitting materials for other contract renewals (e.g. Associate Teaching Professors) is March 1.

These deadlines ensure that there will be adequate time for review by the Tenure, Promotions, and Appointments Committee (TPAC).

The following should be noted:

- Unless an extension of contract is granted (see below), eight years of full-time service is the maximum amount of time a non-tenured faculty member may serve in a tenure-track position.
- In the case of a department's consideration of an untenured faculty member for contract renewal (i.e., reappointment), all of the evidence mandated for consideration by the department at the time of the individual's previous annual reviews (See Chapter 7) shall again be considered, plus any such new information of the same kind as the department and/or the candidate should deem relevant.

In the event of a departmental recommendation not to reappoint or to promote an untenured tenure-track faculty member at the end of their current contract, the candidate is entitled to receive from the department chair a timely written explanation of the reasons for that decision, and a copy of this explanation shall be included in the candidate's dossier. The candidate should be told by the chair that they have the right to appear before TPAC at the time the Committee takes up the department's recommendation.

8.2 Extended Tenure Probationary Period

As noted above, untenured faculty members may serve no longer than eight years of full-time faculty service or its equivalent in a tenure-track position at Brown University. Extensions of contract (normally not to exceed two years in total) may be granted by the appropriate divisional dean for care of a newborn or newly adopted young child, or for other extraordinary circumstances.

The effect of extending the faculty member's current contract in recognition of either parenting responsibilities or extraordinary circumstances will be to lengthen the probationary period by the amount that the contract is extended. Normally, no combination of extensions should total more than two years (four academic semesters).

8.2.1 Parenting a New Child

An untenured, tenure-track faculty member who becomes the parent of a child by birth or adoption during the probationary period for tenure is entitled to an extension of their contract by one year. Unless the faculty member requests otherwise, such extensions are automatically awarded by the appropriate divisional dean at the same time as parental teaching relief (see 11.6.1) is granted. In any case where an untenured faculty member is the primary caregiver for an infant or newly-adopted child but does not make use of parental teaching relief, the faculty member

must notify the dean in writing of the birth or adoption, in order to receive the extension. Such notification must be submitted to the dean and to the chair of the department as soon as possible after the birth or adoption of the child, but in any case no later than September 1 of the year in which a review for reappointment would be required. For those being reviewed for tenure and promotion, notification should be made by April 1 of the academic year preceding that in which the review will take place. No extension is ordinarily possible in the final year of an assistant professor's appointment at Brown.

8.2.2 Extraordinary Circumstances

When faced with extraordinary adverse circumstances, an untenured, regular faculty member may submit to the dean a request for an extension of the probationary period beyond the normal eight years. Such requests are limited to cases in which there have been reasons beyond the faculty member's control resulting in them being deprived of reasonable opportunities to demonstrate their ability and potential as a teacher-scholar before the seventh year (e.g., due to a need to care for a seriously ill child or family member, because of a physical disaster affecting research materials, etc.). Such extensions may be granted by the appropriate divisional dean following review and approval by the Tenure, Promotions, and Appointments Committee.

Requests for extensions of the probationary period must be submitted to the dean and to the chair of the department as soon as possible after the extraordinary circumstances justifying such a request have occurred, but in any case no later than April 1 of the academic year before which a review for reappointment or promotion to tenure would be required. The request should include a detailed description of the circumstances thought to warrant such an exception. The chair of the faculty member's department shall submit a memorandum to the appropriate dean acknowledging the request. Such requests will be subject to the approval of the Tenure, Promotions, and Appointments Committee and the Dean.²

8.2.3 Medical and Personal Leave

Personal leaves (including medical and maternity) are not counted as part of the probationary period. See Chapter 11.6.1.

² The Alpert Medical School has a separate set of guidelines for hospital-based, full-time faculty in clinical departments.

8.3 Reappointment Reviews

Information regarding dossier contents may be found in the [TPAC Dossier Preparation Guide](#) on the Dean of the Faculty's website.

8.3.1 Assistant Professors, Tenure-Track

In the case of Assistant Professors, after an initial four-year appointment, a reappointment may be offered by the University for a term of two years, or for a term of four years, or a reappointment may be denied altogether.

Explanations of these outcomes follow:

- A recommendation not to reappoint is reserved for an individual who has failed to meet the standard requirements for teaching and/or scholarship and has shown themselves unwilling or unable to respond to the department's repeated proffered suggestions for improvement.
- A reappointment recommendation for two years signals general satisfaction with the individual's overall performance, but is meant to indicate some concern about whether the record will justify a positive tenure recommendation at the appropriate time.
- A reappointment recommendation for four years indicates that the individual is following an appropriate trajectory with respect to scholarship, teaching, and service, and that there are no concerns that need be especially addressed at this time. Of course, a reappointment for a term of four years does not guarantee a positive tenure recommendation at the end of the probationary period.

The dossier cover memo should state in precise language the specific recommendation being made, including (except in the case of a negative recommendation) the start date of the proposed action and, in the case of a reappointment, the length of the proposed new term. The recommendation to TPAC must contain the following information in the cover memo:

- the names of the faculty who attended the meeting at which the final recommendation was agreed on;
- the names of faculty eligible to participate in the decision who did not attend the above meeting;
- the (numerical) vote upon which the final recommendation is based;
- the department quorum established for such meetings;
- the academic unit's view of the importance of the candidate's academic specialty within the larger field or discipline;
- a general explanation of the reasons for abstentions (if any);
- an explanation of the views of those voting in the minority; and
- a full and candid discussion of the issues raised in the department meeting relative to this candidacy.

After a reappointment review, the department should prepare a written version of the reappointment report and provide it to the candidate in lieu of the annual review.

8.3.2 Assistant, Associate, and Full Teaching Professors

Assistant Teaching Professors may be reappointed for terms of up to three years. Associate Teaching Professors and Full Teaching Professors are reappointed for terms of up to six years. The required process and documentation are the same as for reappointments of Assistant Professors.

8.3.3 Faculty on the Research, Teaching, and Clinician Scholar Tracks, (Research) faculty and Professors of the Practice

Faculty on the Research, Teaching, and Clinician Scholar Tracks, (Research) faculty and Faculty of the Practice may be reappointed for terms of no more than three years for Assistant Professors and no more than five years for Associate or Full Professors, so long as the needs of the department and the quality of performance warrant such reappointment. Faculty on the Research, Teaching, and Clinician Scholar Tracks may be appointed to no more than three three-year terms as Assistant Professors. (Research) faculty, Faculty of the Practice, and Associate and Full Professors on the Research, Teaching, and Clinician Scholar Tracks are not term-limited.

Prior to the evaluation of the reappointment case, and generally near the beginning of the final year of the faculty member's contract, the faculty member should provide their current CV, a statement describing their professional accomplishments, and other research and teaching materials as appropriate and required by Departmental Standards and Criteria to their Department Chair or Center/Institute Director. These materials should be reviewed and evaluated by the Chair or Director and relevant faculty committee (as determined by the School). The Chair and Committee shall evaluate the faculty member's progress and accomplishments and provide their evaluation to the cognizant Dean or their designee for review and approval. The Dean shall evaluate these materials and may request additional materials (such as minutes of the faculty/committee meeting at which the reappointment was considered, and any other information required by TPAC for faculty in units reporting to the Dean of the Faculty and in the School of Engineering). The Dean will notify the Department Chair and faculty member of their decision and, if the decision is positive, reappointment.

Reappointments of these faculty must be reported to TPAC, and the reappointment may be reviewed by TPAC, CMFA, or PHFA, as appropriate, if requested by the faculty member.

Reappointments of term faculty in other ranks (Senior Research Scientist, Instructor, Visiting Professors) need not be as formal as reviews of Faculty on the Research, Teaching, and Clinician Scholar Tracks, (Research) faculty and

Faculty of the Practice. In general, Department Chairs or Center/Institute Directors should evaluate each faculty member's accomplishments in consultation with their department faculty or the subset of the faculty with whom the term faculty member being reappointed works closely. The Chair or Director should provide their evaluation and recommendation to the relevant Dean, who will notify the Chair or Director whether the reappointment is approved and issue a new appointment to the faculty member.

8.4 Department Procedures for Tenure Review

The promotion of an assistant professor without tenure to the rank of associate professor with tenure is a major milestone in any academic career, indeed perhaps the most important professional review that a career scholar is likely to undergo. Accordingly, the procedural requirements and safeguards of this review process have been developed with the greatest care and are now exceptionally well-codified. Note that many of the steps in the tenure review process are relevant for other types of promotion, to any rank. For a detailed treatment of these requirements and of the specific documentation that is involved, see the [Tenure and Promotion](#) page on the Dean of the Faculty's website.

Prior Experience

From time to time, a candidate who has had significant prior experience as a tenure-track faculty member at another institution is appointed as assistant professor at Brown and subsequently reviewed for promotion and tenure. There is no formal policy for adjusting the probationary period to account for prior service at another institution. In such cases, TPAC pays special attention to research conducted at Brown, since recent output is a good predictor of a scholar's future trajectory. Contributions to teaching and service at Brown are likewise given greater weight because institutions can vary quite considerably in their expectations in this regard, and because the teaching environment at Brown can be very different from that at other institutions. This should not be taken to mean that a candidate's contributions to scholarship and teaching prior to arriving at Brown are ignored. A tenure decision must take account of a candidate's complete range of accomplishments over time.

The review for promotion from assistant professor to associate professor is to be conducted no later than the seventh year of the probationary period (except in cases of extension, described in 8.2). The review normally takes place during the penultimate year of the contract, i.e. during the seventh year of the eight-year probationary period. Earlier review is also possible if the record warrants this, due to a candidate's prior experience (described above) or accomplishments in terms of research, teaching, and service; this is a matter to be discussed between the candidate and the department. In considering the appropriate timing of the review for promotion and tenure, departments and candidates should bear in mind that the practice of the University is that such a review is ordinarily conducted only

once. If a candidate elects an earlier review, the end date of the appointment will be adjusted to provide for one terminal year in the event of a negative review (FRR Part 4, Section 11.I.E.6). The candidate shall be informed of this contract adjustment in writing by the appropriate dean.

No later than April 15 of the year preceding the penultimate year of the candidate's probationary period, the chair of the department, in consultation with the candidate, shall appoint a tenure committee of at least three persons to guide the evaluation procedure (if there are fewer than three tenured faculty in the department, appropriate faculty from other units shall be included on the committee).³

Where a recommended faculty action involves more than one department, the necessary cooperation among these academic units may be differently structured. Accordingly, the chairs of academic units anticipating such a decision are asked to consult together with the appropriate dean well in advance of beginning work on a case, to ensure that the method of cooperation between these academic units is the most appropriate one and is well understood by all parties. Procedures for the review of such individuals are normally established at the time of the initial appointment.

As soon as the tenure committee has been selected, the chair of the department will convene a meeting of all tenured faculty of the department and outline the procedures to be used in the evaluation for tenure. Where a candidate for tenure holds a joint appointment, both academic units will conduct such a meeting so that faculty in both units are kept informed.

The candidate will prepare for the tenure committee a short list of outside individuals (3-4 names) who would be appropriate external reviewers. The candidate may also prepare a list of any individuals whom they would prefer not be asked to serve in this capacity, along with the reasons for excluding them; these list(s) should be included in the dossier that is ultimately forwarded to TPAC. The candidate's objections to particular evaluators must be considered by the tenure committee but do not constitute a binding veto on the composition of the final list of evaluators. At the same time, and without knowledge of the names the candidate has supplied, the tenure committee will independently identify individuals who are acknowledged scholarly and/or educational leaders in the discipline from whom to seek confidential written comments on the quality of accomplishment of the individual under review. The tenure committee will then review the candidate's suggestions, and will compile a single combined list of evaluators, which must include no fewer than three names from the candidate's list. The committee should bear in mind that the completed dossier should have more letters from committee-recommended evaluators, than from

³ The head of the committee for a tenure case may be any tenured member of the department, including the chair of the department.

candidate-recommended evaluators and should balance the requests to maintain the appropriate ratio. This list, which is not to be shared with the candidate, will be forwarded to the appropriate division's dean along with a brief description of the candidate's field, biographies of the evaluators and a rationale for their inclusion, for the dean's review and comment.

After the list of evaluators has been finalized, the department shall request confidential assessments of the candidate's scholarly work, using the standard format for such requests (a template is available on the DOF website). Note that at least eight letters are required for tenure review, and that these should be from individuals who are not close collaborators of the candidate.⁴ Evaluators with such perceived conflicts may contribute letters if the department deems their evaluation important to the review, and these letters would be additional to the eight required letters.

The tenure committee, in consultation with the candidate, will be responsible for assembling the candidate's tenure dossier. This dossier will ultimately carry the department's recommendation on promotion to tenure to be transmitted to the Dean of the Faculty for review by TPAC, and will be kept permanently in the files of the Dean of the Faculty. When completed, the dossier should contain all the items listed in the TPAC Dossier Preparation Guide, available on the Dean of the Faculty's Tenure and Promotion page.

Before the dossier is submitted to TPAC, a list of its contents (as detailed on the Tenure and Promotion [webpage](#)) shall be provided to the candidate, so the candidate may complete or supplement it with additional material, if necessary. The academic unit's recommendation shall not be made without a complete dossier for the candidate, unless the candidate fails to submit the required materials by November 15 of the penultimate academic year before the end of contract.

Documented efforts must be made to secure the maximum participation of the tenured faculty of the department, as required in the evaluation and recommendation process. The candidate's dossier and any of the materials or publications held by the tenure committee shall also be sent to those tenured faculty members in the department not in residence, upon request. Tenured faculty not in residence shall be requested to send written statements concerning the candidate to the chair of the tenure committee, but failure to receive the statements from absent members shall not prevent completion of the evaluation and recommendation process.

At a duly called meeting of the tenured faculty, with at least a week's notice, the tenure committee will present the evidence on scholarship, teaching and service. At this meeting, or at another scheduled meeting, the candidate must

⁴ See 8.5 below for the evaluator letter requirements for other types of promotions.

be given the opportunity to appear before the department's tenured members before they vote on the case. The tenured faculty will discuss the evidence and take a vote, which will be the basis of the department's recommendation to TPAC. This recommendation shall be made in writing and indicate the quorum the department has established as necessary to make such decisions. At the time of the recommendation, the candidate shall be notified in writing what the recommendation is and, to whom it will be sent; in the case of a negative recommendation, the candidate has a right to be informed of the reasons for the department's decision.⁵ In general, this written statement should be provided to the candidate as soon as possible. In no case should more than a week elapse between the time of the meeting and the time the faculty member receives the recommendation. The individual then has the right to present material in person and/or in writing to TPAC if they choose to do so.

Dossiers for internal promotion from assistant to associate professor, with tenure, are to be submitted to TPAC no later than January 7. For tenure candidates on a calendar year clock, the dossier should be submitted by October 1.

8.4.1 Procedures for Tenure Review for Untenured Associate Professors

Occasionally a faculty member's initial appointment is as associate professor without tenure, ordinarily for a term of no more than five years. In such cases the department will undertake a tenure review no later than the penultimate year of the contract, in order to ensure the requisite twelve months' notice. Procedures for such reviews are the same as for those for the review of assistant professors, described above, although a small number of evaluators from the appointment review may be approached for letters at this time. Departments are advised to consult with their dean regarding the use of previous evaluators.

8.4.2 Review of Formerly Tenured Brown Faculty

If a tenured Brown faculty member, having resigned from the University to take a position elsewhere, wishes to return and is recommended for an appointment at the same rank within two years, a full external review will not be required. The department making the recommendation will nevertheless be expected to submit for TPAC review a dossier explaining the rationale for the appointment, the candidate's qualifications in scholarship, teaching, and research, and summarizing the department's deliberations (including the vote). In such cases, all other procedures normally pertaining to faculty appointments will continue to be followed.

⁵ In the event of a tie vote, the candidate is also entitled to a written explanation of the reasons.

8.5 Guidelines for Other Promotion Reviews

8.5.1 Assistant Teaching Professor to Associate Teaching Professor

Academic units with Teaching Professor track faculty must have on file with the appropriate dean an approved set of standards and criteria for promotion to the rank of Associate Teaching Professor. (See Chapter 6). While there may be some considerations that are unique to a particular department or program, common criteria include the following:

- Sustained and documented teaching excellence
- Service to the department in the form of advising students, as well as the training and supervision of teaching assistants and teaching associates
- Service to the university, profession, and/or community
- Evidence of ongoing professional development (including research in their field or pedagogical research)

Professional development may take different forms, e.g., participation in professional societies in the field of the candidate's expertise, work on pedagogy, development of instructional materials, and so on.

Promotion to Associate Teaching Professor follows the same requirements for documentation as for other promotions, including the solicitation of letters from evaluators, though in this case the required minimum number of letters is five. The department should seek a similar ratio between candidate- and committee-recommended evaluators as that ratio used for tenure cases, to ensure that the final list includes more letters from committee-recommended evaluators. Because of the fact that the emphasis in Teaching Professor appointments is on teaching, it is not always necessary or appropriate to require that all letters be from evaluators outside of Brown. In many cases, some combination of letters from outside evaluators and those from individuals at Brown (but not in the candidate's department) may provide the best assessment of the strength of the case for promotion.

Recommendations for promotion to Associate Teaching Professor require review by the Tenure, Promotions, and Appointments Committee, and the department in presenting its candidate for promotion shall have arrived at such a recommendation only after a full review of the relevant dossier materials, and after a vote taken at a duly called meeting of the faculty of the department, at which a quorum is present. The timing of the review should be discussed with the relevant dean and the procedures should be consistent with those followed for promotion from the rank of assistant professor to associate professor as described above.

8.5.2 Associate Teaching Professor to Full Teaching Professor

For promotion to take place, the academic unit must have on file with the appropriate dean a set of standards and criteria for promotion to the rank of full Teaching Professor. Promotion to full Teaching Professor requires important contributions to teaching and professional service at Brown and beyond as evidenced by most of the following:

- Sustained and documented teaching excellence
- Service to the department, university, profession, and/or community
- Recognition as a role model, advisor, and mentor for undergraduate and/or graduate students as well as colleagues
- Excellent professional reputation, as demonstrated by membership and active participation in local, regional, or national professional societies (this may be demonstrated through positions of leadership in executive committees, key roles in collaborative projects, and the organization of professional and academic workshops, symposia, and invited lectures)
- A record of outstanding educational scholarship, which may take the form of instructional materials, including online materials, activities associated with the development and implementation of new assessment models, curricular innovation and configurations, publications, performances, or other works
- Research effort within their discipline (while not normally required this may be taken into account as appropriate).

Promotion to full Teaching Professor follows the same requirements for documentation as other promotions, including the solicitation of letters from evaluators. The department should seek a similar ratio between candidate- and department-recommended evaluators as that ratio used for tenure cases, to ensure that the final list includes more letters from committee-recommended evaluators. Five letters from evaluators external to Brown are required. They should be from individuals who are best suited to provide assessment, who serve in positions similar to the full Teaching Professor role or are tenured faculty engaged in pedagogical research or related programs at other institutions. Letters may be solicited from individuals who have previously written for the candidate's appointment or promotion, keeping in mind that the majority of letters should be from new evaluators. The department may also solicit additional letters (beyond the five external) from colleagues at Brown who are not in the department, if these colleagues can provide insight to the candidate's teaching that might not be readily apparent to external evaluators.

Recommendations for promotion require review by the Tenure, Promotions, and Appointments Committee and the department in presenting its candidate for promotion shall have arrived at a recommendation only after full review of the relevant dossier and after a vote taken at a duly called meeting of the faculty of the academic unit, at which quorum is achieved. The timing of the review should be discussed with the relevant dean and the procedures should be consistent with those followed for promotion from the rank of assistant professor to associate professor as described above.

8.5.3 Instructor to Assistant Professor

Promotion occurs upon completion of requirements for the Ph.D. degree and does not involve TPAC review. The academic unit should send a letter addressed to the appropriate dean requesting the change, with a copy of the certification of completion of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree (supplied by the faculty member's graduate school).

Change in rank will be effective at the beginning of the next semester. Change in salary will be effective at the start of next month.

8.5.4 To Associate Professor, or full Professor, Either Rank without Tenure

Promotions to the rank of associate or full professor, either without tenure, as, for example, in (Research) appointments, follow the same University procedures as tenure recommendations. If an academic unit intends to follow the practice of promoting to associate or full professor without tenure, it should establish written criteria and standards for these ranks, and ensure that this document is approved by the appropriate senior officers and relevant reviewing bodies.

8.5.5 To Professor (with tenure previously granted)

The University has applied to cases of promotion to full professorship (with tenure) the same kinds of standards as those that apply to a tenure review, the difference being that one should, for promotion to the rank of full professor, attest professional and scholarly growth beyond the level at which tenure was originally granted. The criteria for promotion to full professor are continued excellence in scholarship, teaching, and service; demonstrated distinguished influence in the scholarship of the discipline; and demonstrated distinguished influence at Brown.

There is no fixed point at which promotions to the rank of professor must occur, and there is obvious variation in the rapidity with which one's scholarship can be expected to mature. Even within a single department, different individuals may satisfy in different ways the scholarly promise upon which promotion to tenured rank was predicated. Chairs should be aware that TPAC carefully reviews recommendations for promotion to full professor and should be certain that the dossiers of such candidates contain all the information and documentation required, as identified on the Dean of the Faculty's Tenure and Promotion [webpage](#). It is expected that faculty who are being considered for promotion to full professor should be provided the same due process as is required for the more junior ranks, and that the review process will follow that described for tenure review, above.

A review for promotion to professor may be initiated at any time after the granting of tenure. Department chairs and unit directors should regularly discuss with associate professors their readiness to stand for promotion in view of the department's or unit's standards and criteria. Once a faculty member has served as associate professor for more than seven years, the chair or director should also be prepared to discuss progress toward promotion with the cognizant dean as part of the annual salary recommendation meeting.

8.6 Review by the Tenure Promotions and Appointments Committee (TPAC)

The Tenure Promotion and Appointments Committee (TPAC) is authorized by the Faculty Rules and Regulations.

All recommendations for appointment, reappointment, promotion and tenure at regular faculty ranks are first sent to the appropriate division's dean for review. TPAC reviews personnel recommendations coming from departments or other academic units for:

- the renewal of a faculty member's current contract, i.e., a reappointment, with or without tenure;
- a new appointment to the Brown faculty (from outside) to a rank involving tenure;
- the promotion of someone already at Brown to a rank carrying tenure, or to a rank carrying-over (i.e., continuing) an earlier grant of tenure; or
- a new appointment (from outside) or promotion (from within) to the rank of Associate Teaching Professor or Full Teaching Professor

The recommendation and dossier will be shared with TPAC in advance of the scheduled meeting. The department chair and the chair of the tenure, promotion, appointment or reappointment review committee may be asked to appear before TPAC to answer any questions from the Committee that may have arisen regarding the recommendation or associated materials. The faculty member whose case is under review will be provided an opportunity to appear before the Committee and/or present materials that they feel may be significant.

TPAC carries out its responsibilities with reference to the following university-wide standards and criteria for promotion and tenure:

Candidates for tenure and promotion at Brown must show evidence of outstanding scholarship. They must also be highly effective teachers, and be positive contributors to faculty governance as well as to the intellectual life of their department, university, and profession. Demonstrated ability in teaching and service are necessary but not sufficient conditions for tenure and for subsequent promotion to Professor.

Peer esteem, both within and outside the university, is a valuable indicator of scholarly ability and achievement. In all cases, it is important to consider the quality and not only the quantity of scholarly production. (*Faculty Rules and Regulations*, Part 1, Section 2, VI.B.1.c)

8.7 TPAC's Right to Solicit Additional Evidence

Departments should note that pursuant to the *Faculty Rules and Regulations*, TPAC may in its consideration of particular cases solicit additional information and evidence from within or without the "sponsoring group," i.e. the department or other unit making the recommendation. In such cases, the additional evidence that is solicited may include, but is not limited to, the following: (i) letters from external or internal authorities beyond those already gathered by the department; (ii) written statements from all persons participating in the department's vote identifying how they individually voted and the reasons for that vote; and (iii) additional or expanded explanations from the department's chair of points of particular interest to TPAC, especially points that were mentioned (or perhaps not mentioned) in the department's initial submission of the candidate's dossier.

Because the gathering of such additional evidence takes time, TPAC may postpone consideration of these cases without taking a vote after the department's initial presentation, with consideration resumed after the additional information is provided.

At the conclusion of the Committee's discussion, a vote will be taken on whether the recommendation is accepted. The vote of the Committee shall be conveyed to the department chair by the TPAC chair soon after the vote is taken, and the department chair shall promptly communicate the information to the candidate, though underscoring for the candidate that it is not the University's final decision. In the event of a denial of the department's recommendation, the TPAC chair shall, within three weeks, provide to the department chair a written statement of the rationale for the committee's vote.⁶

8.8 Review of TPAC Recommendations by the Provost

TPAC recommendations regarding appointment, reappointment, tenure and promotion are forwarded to the Provost for decision. Materials include the record of the vote, the committee's recommendation, and all other documents in the committee's possession. The appropriate division's dean also conveys their recommendation to the Provost. The Provost may take up to thirty (30) days to render a decision. At this point, but only then, an official letter to the candidate transmitting the substance of this decision will be prepared at the appropriate dean's office.

⁶ For internal cases only; TPAC does not supply a written rationale for cases of new appointments that are not recommended by the committee.

Various contingencies include:

- If the department's recommendation was in favor of appointment, reappointment, or promotion, or the awarding of tenure, and if after TPAC's review, the Provost accepts the recommendation, the appropriate dean will orally notify the department chair concerned and will sign the official letter which will be transmitted to the candidate.
- If the department's recommendation was in favor of appointment, reappointment, or promotion, or the awarding of tenure but if the Provost's decision is negative, oral notice shall be given at once to the candidate and the department chair concerned (see below).
- If the department's recommendation was against appointment, reappointment, or promotion, or the awarding of tenure, and if TPAC and the Provost find that the recommendation is procedurally and substantively acceptable, oral notice will be given at once to the candidate and the department chair concerned (see below).
- If the department's recommendation was against appointment, reappointment, or promotion, or the awarding of tenure, and the Tenure, Promotions, and Appointments Committee, upon reviewing the recommendation, find reason to question either the substance of the recommendation or the manner in which it was reached, the committee will ask the department to make whatever further explanations are considered necessary; or the department may be asked to reconsider its recommendation; or a specially convened extra-departmental committee may be asked to consider it. Whenever a department's recommendation is so questioned by the committee, final disposition of the case shall not have been made until the Provost either accepts the original recommendation or overturns it.

In the case where reappointment, promotion, or the award of tenure (cases internal to Brown) has been denied, whether because a negative recommendation from the academic unit has been upheld by TPAC and approved by the Provost, or because a positive recommendation by the academic unit has been overturned by TPAC and/or the Provost, the appropriate dean will prepare and sign a letter to the candidate officially indicating this decision and providing reasons for it. If reappointment, promotion, or tenure has been denied to any current faculty member, Faculty Rules require that the case be sent on to the Committee on Grievance and Procedural Integrity (GPIC) for review. The dean's letter must inform the faculty member that the case will be reviewed by GPIC for procedural fairness, and also that the faculty member has the right to present materials in person or in writing to the President.

Letters reporting the Provost's decision are sent from the appropriate dean directly to the faculty member under consideration, with a copy sent to the department chair. All letters will be sent in accordance with Faculty Rules regarding timely notification of faculty (See Chapter 8.1 in this Handbook.) It is understood that a letter indicating that a contract will be allowed to expire may be superseded later after review by the President.

The President, or in their absence, their designee, shall grant to a department chair or a faculty member under review (if dissatisfied with either TPAC's recommendation or the Provost's decision) the opportunity to discuss the recommendation with the President or other designee, or to present material in writing.

A complete copy of the dossier and all letters and review decisions will be kept in the files in the Office of Faculty Personnel. Publications provided in hard copy will be returned to the department.