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August 31, 2022

Anne Windham
AGENDA

• Updates on Tenure and Promotion webpages
• Reappointment Reviews
• Annual and Mid-Contract Reviews
• Navigating and working in Interfolio
Tenure and Promotion page

• It includes information on reappointments and annual reviews, as well!

• The best starting point if you have questions!

• TPAC Prep Guide and important forms

• Timelines for annual, reappointment, and tenure reviews
TPAC Dossier Preparation Guide

Instructions & Tips:

- Number the documents in the dossier according to the checklist below. Do not re-number the documents—skip those not required.
- Review the document “Submitting a dossier through Interfolio” for instructions on organizing and formatting the dossier.
- Do you prefer to see what a well-prepared dossier looks like? Email matthew_wheeler@brown.edu for access to our template dossier.

Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Promotion from Assistant Professor to Associate Professor (with tenure)</th>
<th>Promotion from Associate Professor to Professor (tenure previously granted)</th>
<th>Promotion to Senior Lecturer</th>
<th>Promotion to Distinguished Senior Lecturer (DSL)</th>
<th>Promotion to Associate or Full Professor of the Practice or (Research)</th>
<th>Reappointment as Assistant Professor, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, or DSL</th>
<th>Appointment as Associate Professor or Professor of the Practice or (Research)</th>
<th>Appointment as Professor of the Practice, Professor (Research), or Senior Lecturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Cover memo</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Department recommendation, written explanation to the candidate</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Waiver of candidate’s right to a personal appearance before the department</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Department review of scholarship, teaching, and service</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Information on teaching See below for guidance on Spring 2020 course evals</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Candidate’s current CV</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Candidate’s statement</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Annual or mid-contract reviews since last action (appointment or reappoint)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Department correspondence with the selected evaluators</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Letters of evaluation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Brief biographies of external evaluators</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Minutes of the official meeting in which the department voted on the recommendation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Department Standards and Criteria</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Publications</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Course Feedback Forms See below for guidance on Spring 2020 course evals</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Promotion to full professor: Five of the eight letters should be from evaluators who are not close collaborators, dissertation supervisors, or otherwise conflicted. A limited number of writers from a previous action (such as the tenure case) may be included.

2 Promotion to senior lecturer: may be a combination of letters from outside evaluators and from individuals at Brown (but not in the candidate’s department). See Handbook of Academic Administration 10.5.1 for further details.

3 Promotion to DSL: Five core letters should be from individuals external to Brown who serve in positions similar to the distinguished senior lecturer role or are tenured faculty engaged in pedagogical research or related programs at other institutions. Additional letters may be solicited from individuals at Brown (but not in the candidate’s department). See Handbook of Academic Administration 10.5.2 for further details.

4 Appointment Associate or Full Professor, with: For tenured appointments, five of the eight letters should be from evaluators who are not close collaborators, dissertation supervisors, or otherwise conflicted. In the case of an appointment without tenure, only five letters are required (contact anne.windham@brown.edu for details).
New(-ish) Procedures and Policies

- The **Cover Memo** is now a Form

- #2 on checklist is now the written explanation to the candidate of the departmental recommendation—for both positive and negative recommendations

- For reappointments, departments are now required to provide written post-reappointment feedback to candidate (in place of annual review)
• Josiah Carberry sample dossier

• New(-ish) summary of teaching report

• Faculty still have the option to exclude teaching evals from Spring 2020 (and only Spring 2020) in any reviews—annual, promotion, or reappt

• Case manager vs. unit administrator access
Contact Matthew_Wheeler@brown.edu for access to Josiah Carberry sample dossier
Carberry, Josiah
Psycho Ceramics

Enrollment Composition

Course Effectiveness Average Rating: 4.3

Instructor Effectiveness Average Rating: 4.6
Interfolio has a hierarchy and workflow that allows different users different levels of access and control.

**Institutional administrators (Anne and Matt)**
- Create cases/workflows and view them throughout workflow
- Create and manage users and committees

**Case Managers (most dept managers/staff)**
- See the cases when at department level
- Add/recuse users to case-specific comm.
- Upload, organize, and delete documents
- Forward the case to the next level

**Committee Members (faculty, TPAC members)**
- Read cases to which they are given access
- Download case documents as pdfs
Interfolio has a hierarchy and workflow that allows different users different levels of access and control.

**NEW ROLE**
Unit Administrators

- Unit administrators can do all the things that case managers can do, plus
  - View the entire workflow for a case
  - See the case even after it has been forwarded to the next level
  - Add or recuse committee members at the department voting faculty level
Case Managers and Unit Administrators must ask the University Administrators (Anne and Matt) to . . .

- Create a new user
- Create a new standing committee
- Delete a document in a dossier that was added by someone else
- Get a dossier back once you’ve forwarded it beyond your committee
Reappointment reviews

• Submission deadline for reappointment reviews is September 15\textsuperscript{th}

• Faculty members under review will have the \textit{option} of including Spring 2020 course feedback evaluations
Reappointment reviews

• Refer to TPAC Prep Guide for required materials

• Use Josiah Carberry case as a model or request a previously submitted case from your department.
Workflow for TPAC Cases

1. Department admin review (chair and manager)
2. Department voting faculty (optional)
3. DoF Office (Anne/Matt)
4. TPAC Members
Annual Reviews

• Submission deadline for draft annual reviews is October 17th

• Faculty members under review will have the option of including Spring 2020 course feedback evaluations

• BioMed, SPH, and SoE divisions will use Interfolio to submit annual reviews
Annual Reviews

• Information is also available on the Faculty Tenure and Promotion page

• When you open your faculty member’s annual review case in Interfolio, you will also see instructions there.
Interfolio’s workflow always moves forward!

Workflow for annual reviews

Department 1\textsuperscript{st} draft of annual review

Dean’s Review

Department final draft of annual review

Final Submission of Annual Review
Navigating and working in Interfolio
Some reminders about how Interfolio works

- Different levels of access & roles for users
- Candidates for review cannot see material
- (Relatively) intuitive to use
- Dossiers are archived and can be accessed in the system by an administrator even after the case is complete
Always follow the TPAC Dossier Prep Guide for the appropriate faculty action (tenure, reappointment, etc.)

Maintain the numbering system from the TPAC Dossier Prep Guide, do not re-number dossier items

We recommend that you upload documents in a non-modifiable format (pdf)

After uploading documents, click the “Read” button and see what they look like! This is how TPAC will view them.
Questions, problems?

Anne Windham
anne_windham@brown.edu
Ext. 3-5410

Matt Wheeler
Matthew_Wheeler@brown.edu
Ext. 5596